The L₃ project

Advanced Compiler Construction Michel Schinz – 2021-02-25

Project overview

As the semester progresses, you will get:

- parts of an L₃ compiler written in Scala, and
- parts of a virtual machine, written in C.

You will have to:

- do one non-graded, warm-up exercise,
- complete the compiler,
- complete the virtual machine.

The L₃ language

The L₃ language

L₃ is a Lisp-like language. Its main characteristics are:

- it is "dynamically typed",
- it is functional:
 - functions are first-class values, and can be nested,
 - there are few side-effects (exceptions: mutable blocks and I/O),
- it automatically frees memory,
- it is simple but quite powerful.

A taste of L₃

An L₃ function to compute x^y for $x \in \mathbb{Z}$, $y \in \mathbb{N}$:

```
(defrec pow
 (fun (x y)
       (cond ((= 0 y)
             ((even? y)
              (let ((t (pow x (/ y 2))))
                (* t t)))
             (#t
              (* x (pow x (- y 1)))))
```

Values

L₃ offers four types of atomic values:

- 1. unit,
- 2. booleans,
- 3. characters, represented by their Unicode code point,
- 4. integers, 31 bits [!] in two's complement.

and one type of composite value: tagged blocks.

Literal values

```
"C<sub>1</sub>...C<sub>n</sub>"
String literal (translated to a block expression, see later).
1 C 1
Character literal.
\dots -2 -1 0 1 2 3 \dots
 Integer literals (also in base 16 with #x prefix, or in base 2 with #b prefix).
#t #f
 Boolean literals (true and false, respectively).
#u
 Unit literal.
```

Top-level definitions

(def ne)

Top-level non-recursive definition. The expression e is evaluated and its value is bound to name n in the rest of the program. The name n is *not* visible in expression e.

(defrec nf)

Top-level recursive *function* definition. The function expression f is evaluated and its value is bound to name n in the rest of the program. The function can be recursive, i.e. the name n is visible in the function expression f.

Local definitions

```
(let ((n_1 e_1) ...) b_1 b_2 ...)
Parallel local value definition. The expressions e_1, ... are evaluated in that
order, and their values are then bound to names n_1, \dots in the body b_1, b_2, \dots
The value of the whole expression is the value of the last b_i.
(let* ((n_1 e_1) ...) b_1 b_2 ...)
Sequential local value definition. Equivalent to a nested sequence of let:
(let ((n_1 e_1)) (let (...) ...))
(letrec ((n_1 f_1) ...) b_1 b_2 ...)
Recursive local function definition. The function expressions f_1, \ldots are
evaluated and bound to names n_1, ... in the body b_1, b_2 ... The functions can
be mutually recursive.
```

Conditional expressions

 $(if e_1 e_2 e_3)$

Two-ways conditional. If e_1 evaluates to a true value (i.e. anything but # \mathbf{f}), e_2 is evaluated, otherwise e_3 is evaluated. The value of the whole expression is the value of the evaluated branch.

The else branch, e_3 , is optional and defaults to $\#\mathbf{u}$ (unit).

(cond $(c_1 b_{1,1} b_{1,2} ...)$ $(c_2 b_{2,1} b_{2,2} ...) ...)$

N-ways conditional. If c_1 evaluates to a true value, evaluate $b_{1,1}$, $b_{1,2}$...; else, if c_2 evaluates to a true value, evaluate $b_{2,1}$, $b_{2,2}$...; etc. The value of the whole expression is the value of the evaluated branch or #u if none of the conditions are true.

Logical expressions

(and $e_1 e_2 e_3 ...$)

Short-cutting conjunction. If e_1 evaluates to a true value, proceed with the evaluation of e_2 , and so on. The value of the whole expression is that of the last evaluated e_i .

$(or e_1 e_2 e_3 ...)$

Short-cutting disjunction. If e_1 evaluates to a true value, produce that value. Otherwise, proceed with the evaluation of e_2 , and so on.

(not e)

Negation. If e evaluates to a true value, produce the value #f. Otherwise, produce the value #t.

Loops and blocks

```
(rec n ((n<sub>1</sub> e<sub>1</sub>) ...) b<sub>1</sub> b<sub>2</sub> ...)
General loop. Equivalent to:
    (letrec ((n (fun (n<sub>1</sub> ...) b<sub>1</sub> b<sub>2</sub> ...)))
          (n e<sub>1</sub> ...))
(begin b<sub>1</sub> b<sub>2</sub> ...)
```

Sequential evaluation. First evaluate expression b_1 , discarding its value, then b_2 , etc. The value of the whole expression is the value of the last b_i .

Functions and primitives

```
(fun (n_1...) b_1 b_2...)
```

Anonymous function with arguments n_1 , ... and body b_1 , b_2 , ... The return value is the value of the last b_i .

```
(e e_1 ...)
```

Function application. Expressions e, e_1 , ... are evaluated in order, and then the value of e – which must be a function – is applied to the value of e_1 , ... Note: if e is a simple identifier, a special form of name resolution, based on arity, is used – see later.

$$(0 p e_1 e_2 ...)$$

Primitive application. First evaluate expressions e_1 , e_2 , ... in that order, and then apply primitive p to the value of these expressions.

Arity-based name lookup

A special name lookup rule is used when analysing a function application in which the function is a simple name:

```
(n e_1 e_2 ... e_k)
```

In such a case, the name n@k (i.e. the name itself, followed by @, followed by the arity in base 10) is first looked up, and used instead of n instead if it exists. Otherwise, name analysis proceeds as usual.

This allows a kind of overloading based on arity (although it is *not* overloading per se).

Arity-based name lookup

Arity-based name lookup can for example be used to define several functions to create lists of different lengths:

```
(def list-make@1 (fun (e1) ...))
(def list-make@2 (fun (e1 e2) ...))
and so on for list-make@3, list-make@4, etc.
```

With these definitions, the following two function applications are both valid:

- 1. (list-make 1) (invokes list-make@1),
- 2. (list-make 1 (+ 2 3)) (invokes list-make@2).

However, the following one is *not* valid, unless a definition for the bare name list-make also appears in scope:

```
(map list-make l)
```

Primitives

```
L<sub>3</sub> offers the following primitives:
- integer: < <= + - * / % truncated division/remainder
- integer: shift-left shift-right and or xor
- polymorphic: = id identity
- type tests: block? int? char? bool? unit?
- character: char->int int->char
- I/O: byte-read byte-write
- tagged blocks: block-alloc-n 0 ≤ n ≤ 255
block-tag block-length block-get block-set!
```

Tagged blocks

L₃ offers a single kind of composite values: tagged blocks. They are manipulated with the following primitives:

(@ block-alloc-ns)

Allocates an uninitialised block with tag n and length s.

(@ block-tag b)

Returns the tag of block b (as an integer).

(@ block-length b)

Returns the length of block b.

(@ block-get bn)

Returns the nth element (0-based) of block b.

(@ block-set! bnv)

Sets the nth element (0-based) of block b to v.

Using tagged blocks

Tagged blocks are a low-level data structure. They are not meant to be used directly in programs, but rather as a means to implement more sophisticated data structures like strings, arrays, lists, etc.

The valid tags range from 0 to 255, inclusive. Tags \geq 200 are reserved by the compiler, while the others are available for general use. (For example, our L₃ library uses a few tags to represent arrays, lists, etc.)

Valid primitive arguments

Primitives only work correctly when applied to certain arguments, otherwise their behaviour is undefined.

```
+ - * and or xor: int * int <math>\Rightarrow int
shift-left shift-right: int \times (int \in \{0, 1, ..., 31\}) \Rightarrow int
/\%: int \times (int \neq 0) \Rightarrow int
< <= : int \times int \Rightarrow bool
=: \forall a, \beta. a \times \beta \Rightarrow bool
id: \forall a. a \Rightarrow a
int->char: int \in \{ valid Unicode code-points \} \Rightarrow char
char -> int : char \Rightarrow int
```

Valid primitive arguments

```
block? int? char? bool? unit?: \forall a. a \Rightarrow bool

byte-read: \Rightarrow int \in \{-1, 0, 1, ..., 255\}

byte-write: int \in \{0, 1, ..., 255\} \Rightarrow ? arbitrary

block-alloc-n: int \Rightarrow block

block-tag block-length: block \Rightarrow int

block-get: \exists a. block \times int \Rightarrow a

block-set!: \forall a. block \times int \times a \Rightarrow ?
```

Undefined behaviour


The fact that primitives have undefined behaviour when applied to invalid arguments means that they can do *anything* in such a case.

For example, division by zero can produce an error, crash the program, or produce an arbitrary value like 0.

Grasping the syntax

Like all Lisp-like languages, L₃ "has no syntax", in that its concrete syntax is very close to its abstract syntax.

For example, the L₃ expression on the left is almost a direct transcription of a pre-order traversal of its AST on the right, in which nodes are parenthesised and tagged, while leaves are unadorned.



L₃ EBNF grammar (1)

```
program ::= { def | defrec | expr } expr
def ::= (def ident expr)
defrec ::= (defrec ident fun)
expr ::= fun | let | let* | letrec | rec | begin | if | cond | and | or | not
 app | prim | ident | num | str | chr | bool | unit
exprs ::= expr { expr }
fun ::= (fun ({ ident }) exprs)
let ::= (let ({ (ident expr) }) exprs)
let* ::= (let* ({ (ident expr) }) exprs)
letrec ::= (letrec ({ (ident fun) }) exprs)
rec ::= (rec ident ({ (ident expr) }) exprs)
begin ::= (begin exprs)
```

L₃ EBNF grammar (2)

```
if ::= (if expr expr[ expr])
cond ::= (cond (expr exprs) {(expr exprs)})
and ::= (and expr expr { expr })
or ::= (or expr expr { expr })
not ::= (not expr)
app ::= (expr { expr })
prim ::= (@ prim-name { expr })
```

L₃ EBNF grammar (3)

```
str ::= "{any character except newline}"
chr ::= 'any character'
bool ::= #t | #f
unit ::= #u
ident ::= identstart { identstart | digit } [@ digit { digit }]
identstart ::= a \mid ... \mid z \mid A \mid ... \mid Z \mid | \mid ! \mid | % \mid | & | * \mid + \mid -
| . | / | : | < | = | > | ? | ^ | _ ~
prim-name ::= block-tag | block-alloc-n | etc.
```

L₃ EBNF grammar (4)

```
\begin{array}{l} \text{num} ::= \text{num}_2 \mid \text{num}_{10} \mid \text{num}_{16} \\ \\ \text{num}_2 ::= \#b \; \text{digit}_2 \{ \; \text{digit}_2 \} \\ \\ \text{num}_{10} ::= [-] \; \text{digit}_{10} \{ \; \text{digit}_{10} \} \\ \\ \text{num}_{16} ::= \#x \; \text{digit}_{16} \{ \; \text{digit}_{16} \} \\ \\ \text{digit}_2 ::= 0 \mid 1 \\ \\ \text{digit}_{10} ::= \text{digit}_2 \mid 2 \mid 3 \mid 4 \mid 5 \mid 6 \mid 7 \mid 8 \mid 9 \\ \\ \text{digit}_{16} ::= \text{digit}_{10} \mid A \mid B \mid C \mid D \mid E \mid F \mid a \mid b \mid c \mid d \mid e \mid f \end{array}
```

Exercise

```
Write the L_3 version of the factorial function, defined as:

fact(0) = 1

fact(n) = n · fact(n - 1) [if n > 0]

What does the following (valid) L_3 program compute?

((fun (f x) (f x))

(fun (x) (@+ x 1))

20)
```

L₃ syntactic sugar

L₃ syntactic sugar

L₃ has a substantial amount of **syntactic sugar**: constructs that can be syntactically translated to other existing constructs. Syntactic sugar does not offer additional expressive power to the programmer, but some syntactical convenience.

For example, L_3 allows if expressions without an else branch, which is implicitly taken to be the unit value #u:

```
(if e_1 e_2) \Leftrightarrow (if e_1 e_2 \# u)
```

Desugaring

Syntactic sugar is typically removed very early in the compilation process – e.g. during parsing – to simplify the language that the compiler has to handle. This process is known as **desugaring**.

Desugaring can be specified as a function denoted by $[\cdot]$ taking an L_3 term and producing a desugared CL_3 term (CL_3 is *Core L_3*, the desugared version of L_3). To clarify the presentation, L_3 terms appear in orange, CL_3 terms in green, and meta-terms in black.

L₃ desugaring (1)

To simplify the specification of desugaring for whole programs, we assume that all top-level expressions are wrapped sequentially in a single (program ...) expression.

```
[(program (def ne) s<sub>1</sub> s<sub>2</sub> ...)] =
   (let ((n [e])) [(program s<sub>1</sub> s<sub>2</sub> ...)])
[(program (defrec ne) s<sub>1</sub> s<sub>2</sub> ...)] =
    (letrec ((n [e])) [(program s<sub>1</sub> s<sub>2</sub> ...)])
[(program e s<sub>1</sub> s<sub>2</sub> ...)] =
    [(begin e (program s<sub>1</sub> s<sub>2</sub> ...))]
[(program e)] =
    [e]
```

L₃ desugaring (2)

Desugaring sometimes requires the creation of **fresh names**, i.e. names that do not appear anywhere else in the program. Their binding occurrence is underlined in the rules, as illustrated by the one below.

```
[(begin b<sub>1</sub> b<sub>2</sub> b<sub>3</sub> ...)] =
   (let ((t [b<sub>1</sub>])) [(begin b<sub>2</sub> b<sub>3</sub> ...)])
[(begin b)] =
   [b]
```

L₃ desugaring (3)

```
[(let ((n_1 e_1) ...) b_1 b_2 ...)] =
   (let ((n_1[e_1])...)[(begin b_1b_2...)])
[(let* ((n_1 e_1) (n_2 e_2) ...) b_1 b_2 ...)] =
  [(let ((n_1 e_1)) (let* ((n_2 e_2) ...) b_1 b_2 ...))]
[(let* () b_1 b_2 ...)] =
  [(begin b_1 b_2 ...)]
[(letrec ((f_1 (fun (n_{1,1}...) b_{1,1} b_{1,2}...)) ...) b_1 b_2...)] =
   (letrec ((f_1 (fun (n_{1,1}...) [(begin b_{1,1} b_{1,2}...)]))
     [(begin b_1 b_2 ...)])
```

L₃ desugaring (4)

```
[(fun (n_1...) b_1 b_2...)] =
   (letrec ((\underline{f} (fun (n_1...) [(begin b_1 b_2...)])))
[(rec n ((n_1 e_1) ...) b_1 b_2 ...)] =
   (letrec ((n (fun (n_1...)[(begin b_1 b_2...)])))
      (n [e_1] ...)
[ (e e_1 ...) ] =
   ([e][e_1]...)
[(0 p e_1 ...)] =
   (@p[e_1]...)
```

L₃ desugaring (5)

```
[(if e e<sub>1</sub>)] =
    [(if e e<sub>1</sub> #u)]
[(if e e<sub>1</sub> e<sub>2</sub>)] =
    (if [e] [e<sub>1</sub>] [e<sub>2</sub>])
[(cond (e<sub>1</sub> b<sub>1,1</sub> b<sub>1,2</sub>...) (e<sub>2</sub> b<sub>2,1</sub> b<sub>2,2</sub>...) ...)] =
    [(if e<sub>1</sub> (begin b<sub>1,1</sub> b<sub>1,2</sub>...) (cond (e<sub>2</sub> b<sub>2,1</sub> b<sub>2,2</sub>...) ...))]
[(cond ())] =
    #u
```

L₃ desugaring (6)

```
[(and e_1 e_2 e_3 ...)] =
  [(if e_1 (and e_2 e_3 ...) #f)]
[(and e)] =
  [e]
[(or e_1 e_2 e_3 ...)] =
  [(let ((\underline{v} e_1)) (if v v (or e_2e_3...)))]
[(or e)] =
  [e]
[(not e)] =
  [(if e #f #t)]
```

L₃ desugaring (7)

L₃ does not have a string type. It offers string literals, though, which are desugared to blocks of characters.


L₃ desugaring example

```
[(program (@byte-write (if #t 79 75))
           (@byte-write (if #f 79 75)))]
= [(begin (@byte-write (if #t 79 75))
           (program
             (@byte-write (if #f 79 75))))]
= (let ((\underline{t} [(@byte-write (if #t 79 75))]))
    [(begin
       (program
          (@byte-write (if #f 79 75)))])
= (let ((<u>t</u> (@byte-write (if #t 79 75))))
    (@byte-write (if #f 79 75)))
```

Exercise

The L₃ compiler

L₃ compiler architecture



Note: CL₃, CPS and ASM each designate a *family* of very similar languages, with minor differences between them.

Intermediate languages

The L₃ compiler manipulates a total of four (families of) languages:

- 1. L_3 is the source language that is parsed, but never exists as a tree it is desugared to CL_3 immediately,
- 2. CL_3 a.k.a. $CoreL_3$ is the desugared version of L_3 ,
- 3. CPS is the main intermediate language, on which optimizations are performed,
- 4. ASM is the assembly language of the target (virtual) machine.

The compiler contains interpreters for the last three languages, which is useful to check that a program behaves in the same way as it is undergoes transformation.

These interpreters also serve as semantics for their language.